

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,863	04/12/2004	Andreas Hamburger	321.43756X00	1849
20457	7590 10/19/2005	EXAMINER		
	I, TERRY, STOUT & SEVENTEENTH STRI	FASTOVSKY	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/821,863	HAMBURGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	lv 2005.				
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	,					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-19,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the a	• •				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u>					
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,3-4,6-71,73-74,76-79</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5-7,12,15,21 and 22</u> is/are objected to.					
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/821,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 8-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Damsohn et al (5,665,261).

Damsohn teaches a device for receiving ceramic PTC heating elements 15 in the device, with an inherently insulating-synthetic frame 9 (see hatching in Fig. 2 and attached sheet from MPEP 608.2) and at least one contact plate 5 held in the sealing frame 7, the contact plate 5 and the insulating frame 9 are frictionally connected via the frame 7(col. 6, lines 55-63).

As for claims 8-10, the contact plate 5 projects over the insulating frame 9, wherein the projecting end of the contact plate is constructed as terminal lugs 5' and 6'.

As for claim 14, it is product –by-process claim and the product itself does not depend on the process of making it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/821,863 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damsohn in view of Van Bokestal.

Damsohn teaches substantially the claimed invention including the contact plate 5 covered by the sealing 7, but does not teach a contact plate covered by a polymer ceramic. Van Bokestal discloses a PTC heating device having an insulating polymer ceramic 5 covering a contact plate 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Damsohn's invention to include an insulting layer as taught by Van Bokestal for protection against electric short.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-4, 8-11, 13, 14 and 16-19 have been considered but are not persuasive. Damsohn discloses that the metal plates are held in the insulating frame 9 and not only in the frame 7 as indicated by applicant.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 5-7, 12,15 and 21-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

20/06/05 Bibin O. Epre

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf